APPENDIX D

Summary of Comments to October 2011 Publication Acquisition of TMX Group

1. Exchange fees	
Should be transparency in mechanisms to	IIAC
determine fees relating to trade execution,	
clearing services, access to market data	
Independent board members should be	IIAC
involved in decisions related to execution	
fees	
To the extent the exchange operates as a de	CACC
facto public utility regulators should	
examine pricing and profitability	
restrictions similar to those in the consumer	
electricity market.	
2. Independence of Maple Board	
Current board composition not in public	CACC
interest because of number of Maple	
shareholders represented. Board should be	
made up of a majority of independent	
directors	
Independent should mean having no	CACC
material interest in Maple's financial	
performance. This means non-owner users	
(including reps of retail investor protection	
groups, those that deal in venture and early	
stage companies and listed issuers).	
Definition of "independent" should be	FAIR, CNSX
lowered to exclude those representing	
shareholders with 5% or greater interest	
(down from proposed 10%)	
Founding non-dealer shareholders of	CNSX, CACC
Maple should be excluded from definition	
of "independent"	
Nomination committee should be made up	CACC
of only those members who have not	
worked in the industry	
3. Other requirements for Maple	
boards	
Should ensure diverse representation on	FAIR
Maple's boards by requiring $2/3$	
independent directors, including 1/3	

representing Canadian investors (with at	
least 2 retail investor reps) and 1/3	
representing other stakeholders (including	
listed companies and the public interest)	
Inappropriate for certain Maple investors to	CNSX, CACC
have 6 year nomination rights	
4. Conflicts	
Maple's proposed measures to mitigate	CACC
potential conflicts are not sufficient.	
Regulatory oversight must be moved	
outside the Maple board and any other	
SRO to be truly independent.	
Should outsource listing regulatory	CACC
function as pre-condition of the transaction	
Insufficient consideration has been given to	CACC
the likelihood that Maple owners will enjoy	
a closer relationship with TMX Group of	
companies than dealers outside the	
syndicate, to the obvious detriment of the	
outside dealers. How will TMX and	
IIROC ensure this would not occur?	
Should prohibit employment by Maple	Stuart Moir
entities of anyone who has been employed	
by a Canadian securities regulator	

Acquisition of CDS

5. Vertical integration	
Current arm's length arrangement is	CACC
serving Canada well. No compelling case	
that vertical integration would be in the	
public interest. Integration of CDS with	
TSX would introduce a higher level of	
operational and systemic risk into the	
Canadian system.	
6. Conversion to a for-profit entity	
Not in the public interest. Significant	CNSX
concern that vertically integrated entity	
could block competition by non-affiliated	
marketplaces.	
If converted to a for-profit entity, should be	FAIR
regulated similar to a public utility.	
Should defer any decision until have	FAIR, CACC
fulsome consultation	

Main goal of CDS should be to minimize	CACC
Main goal of CDS should be to minimize	CACC
costs and optimize service, rather than to	
optimize profits, given that all costs are	
ultimately passed on to issuers and	
investors. Fee increases and difficulties	
accessing or becoming a member of CDS	
are anticipated.	
7. Governance structure	
If approved, regulators should ensure	CNSX
proper oversight by requiring 1/3 board	
representation by user owners, 1/3 by non-	
user owners and 1/3 independent	
representatives (including reps from 2	
unaffiliated marketplaces)	
Marketplaces should not be represented on	CACC
clearing boards	
Majority of directors should be	CACC
independent users, as defined under the	
current CDS RO	
User-owned system has served Canadian	CACC
marketplace well. No reason to believe that	
a non-user owned system would work	
better. Consequences of it not working well	
outweigh whatever benefits might accrue	
from the change in ownership structure	
Regulatory Oversight Committee should be	CNSX
responsible for nominating candidates for	
the board	
8. Clearing Fees	
Should provide full disclosure of any	ПАС
changes to risk model in clearing system,	
particularly if it will interfere with	
participant access	
Independent board members and market	ПАС
participant advisory boards should be	
involved in decisions related to clearing	
and access to market data	
Fee regulation is necessary if fees are to	CACC
remain competitive, given the near	
monopoly of what is essentially a public	
utility	
	CACC
Questions whether Maple proposal to	CALL
provide benchmarking to fees elsewhere	
will be timely enough to ensure Canada	
remains at forefront of international	

competitiveness. Also questions whether Maple could do this objectively.	
9. Fair Access	
Regulators must ensure sufficient resources	CACC
allocated to police compliance with NI 21-	
101	

Acquisition of Alpha

Alpha acquisition will not have significant	CNSX
impact on industry	
Loss of a significant competitor could	CACC
impact service to the public, competitive	
pricing practices and innovation.	
10. Non-Competition and Non-	
preferencing agreements	
Would impede, but not imperil	CACC
development of other ATS systems in	
Canada	

Systemic Risk

Concentrated ownership adds to the	CACC
systemic risk in the financial industry as it	
increases dependency and connection	
between the fiscal situation of some dealers	
and the clearing and stock exchange system	
itself. Operational failure could be	
catastrophic and would difficult to mitigate	
by regulatory measures.	