### ANNEX B

#### PROPOSED CHANGES TO COMPANION POLICY 24-101 INSTITUTIONAL TRADE MATCHING AND SETTLEMENT

- 1. Companion Policy 24-101 Institutional Trade Matching and Settlement is changed by this Document.
- 2. The title of the Companion Policy is simplified to read as follows:

## COMPANION POLICY 24-101 INSTITUTIONAL TRADE MATCHING AND SETTLEMENT

3. Subsection 1.2(2) is changed by replacing, in the last sentence of footnote 3, the words "within one hour of the execution of the trade" with "by no later than 6 pm on the day of the trade".

#### 4. Paragraph 1.2(3)(c) is changed by replacing footnote 5 by the following:

<sup>5</sup> See, for example, section 14.12 of NI 31-103 and IIROC Member Rule 200.1(h).

#### 5. Subsection 1.3(1) (including footnotes) is replaced by the following (including a footnote):

- (1) <u>Clearing agency</u> While the terms "clearing agency" and "recognized clearing agency" are generally defined in securities legislation,<sup>6</sup> we have defined *clearing agency* for the purposes of the Instrument to narrow its scope to a recognized clearing agency that operates as a securities settlement system. The term securities settlement system is defined in National Instrument 24-102 Clearing Agency Requirements as a system that enables securities to be transferred and settled by book entry according to a set of predetermined multilateral rules. Today, the definition of *clearing agency* in the Instrument applies to CDS Clearing and Depository Services Inc. (CDS). For the purposes of the Instrument, a clearing agency includes, in Quebec, a clearing house and settlement system within the meaning of the Québec Securities Act. See subsection 1.2(2). [footnote 6: See, for example, s. 1(1) of the Securities Act (Ontario).]
  - 6. Subsection 1.3(4) is changed by replacing, in the second sentence, the words "the Joint Financial Questionnaire and Report of the Canadian SROs" with "IROC Form 1, Part II".
  - 7. Section 2.2 is changed by
    - a. adding in the first sentence "Eastern Time" immediately after "12p.m. (noon)"
    - b. deleting the second and third sentences,
    - c. adding immediately after the first sentence the following new sentence (including a footnote):

The policies and procedures requirement of Part 3 of the Instrument is consistent with the overarching obligation of a registered firm to manage the risks associated with its business in accordance with prudent business practices.<sup>7</sup> [footnote 7: See s. 11.1 of NI 31-103, which requires registered firms to establish, maintain and apply policies and procedures that establish a system of controls and supervision sufficient to manage the risks associated with their business in accordance with prudent business practices.]

- 8. Section 3.1 is changed by
  - a. *replacing, in the second sentence of paragraph (a), the words "*a percentage target of the DAP/RAP trades" *with "*90 percent of the DAP/RAP trades (by volume and value)"
  - b. deleting the first word ("They...") in the second sentence of paragraph (b) and inserting in its place the following text:

DAP/RAP trades in exchange-traded funds are reportable in the equities category of DAP/RAP trades.

Form 24-101F1 should only be submitted for DAP/RAP trades for the type of security (equity or debt) that did not meet the 90 percent threshold by the relevant timeline. If a registered firm does not meet the threshold for both equity and debt DAP/RAP trades, then it should submit the Form for both equity and debt DAP/RAP trades (i.e., by completing both tables in Exhibit A of Form 24-101F1). If the firm does not meet the threshold only for one type of security (i.e., for equity but not debt, or for debt but not equity), it should only submit the Form for the one type of security, by completing only one of the tables in Exhibit A of Form 24-101F1. A registered firm ...

## 9. Paragraph 3.2(b) is changed by

## a. replacing the first sentence with the following:

The Canadian securities regulatory authorities may consider the consistent inability to meet the matching percentage target as evidence that either the policies and procedures of one or more of the trade matching parties have not been properly designed or, if properly designed, have been inadequately complied with.

- b. Replacing, in the second sentence, the word "will" with "may".
- 10. Section 3.3 is changed by replacing the words "participants or users/subscribers" with "participants, users or subscribers".
- 11. Section 3.4 is changed by replacing the word "may" with "should".

## 12. Subsection 4.1(1) is changed by

- a. *deleting the first word ("*The...*") in the second sentence and inserting in its place "*For the purposes of the Instrument, the...*"*
- b. adding the following text (including a footnote) immediately after the last sentence:

In Québec, a person or company that seeks to provide centralized facilities for matching must, in addition to the requirements of the Instrument, apply for recognition as a matching service utility or for an exemption from the requirement to be recognized as a matching service utility pursuant to the *Securities Act* (Québec, chapter V-1.1) or *Derivatives Act* (Québec, chapter I-14.01). In certain other jurisdictions, in addition to the requirements of the Instrument, such person or company may be required to apply either for recognition as a clearing agency or for an exemption from the requirement to be recognized as a clearing agency.<sup>10</sup> [footnote 10: See, for example, the scope of the definition of "clearing agency" in s. 1(1) of the *Securities Act* (Ontario), which includes providing centralized facilities "for comparing data respecting the terms of settlement of a trade or transaction".]

- **13.** Section 4.2 is changed by replacing the beginning portion of the first sentence "Sections s 6.1(1) and 10.2(4) of the Instrument require ..." with "Subsection 6.1(1) of the Instrument requires".
- 14. Section 4.5 is replaced with the following new section 4.5, together with added new sections 4.6 to 4.8:

### 4.5 System requirements

- (1) The intent of these provisions is to ensure that controls are implemented to support information technology planning, acquisition, development and maintenance, computer operations, information systems support, and security. Recognized guides as to what constitutes adequate information technology controls include 'Information Technology Control Guidelines' from the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants (CICA) and 'COBIT' from the IT Governance Institute.
- (2) Capacity management requires that the matching service utility monitor, review, and test (including stress test) the actual capacity and performance of the system on an ongoing basis. Accordingly, under paragraph 6.5(b), the matching service utility is required to meet certain standards for its estimates and for testing. These standards are consistent with prudent business practice. The activities and tests required in that paragraph are to be carried out at least once a year. In practice, continuing changes in technology, risk management requirements and competitive pressures will often result in these activities being carried out or tested more frequently..
- (3) A failure, malfunction or delay or other incident is considered to be "material" if the matching service utility would, in the normal course of operations, escalate the matter to or inform its senior management ultimately

accountable for technology. It is also expected that, as part of this notification, the matching service utility will provide updates on the status of the failure and the resumption of service. Further, the matching service utility should have comprehensive and well-documented procedures in place to record, report, analyze, and resolve all operational incidents. In this regard, the matching service utility should undertake a "post-incident" review to identify the causes and any required improvement to the normal operations or business continuity arrangements. Such reviews should, where relevant, include the matching service utility's participants. The results of such internal reviews are required to be communicated to the securities regulatory authority as soon as practicable. Paragraph 6.5(c) also refers to a material security breach. A material security breach or systems intrusion is considered to be any unauthorized entry into any of the systems that support the functions of the matching service utility or any system that shares resources with one or more of these systems. Virtually any security breach would be on the matching service utility to document the reasons for any security breach it did not consider material.

### 4.6 Systems reviews

(1) A qualified party is a person or a group of persons with relevant experience in both information technology and in the evaluation of related internal systems or controls in a complex information technology environment. Qualified persons may include external auditors or third party information system consultants, as well as employees of the matching service utility or an affiliated entity of the matching service utility, but may not be persons responsible for the development or operation of the systems or capabilities being tested. Before engaging a qualified party, a matching service utility should discuss its choice with the regulator or, in Québec, the securities regulatory authority.

# 4.7 Matching service utility technology requirements and testing facilities

- (1) The technology requirements required to be disclosed under subsection 6.7(1) do not include detailed proprietary information.
- (2) We expect the amended technology requirements to be disclosed as soon as practicable, either while the changes are being made or immediately after.

### 4.8 Testing of business continuity plans

- (1) Paragraph 6.8 (a) of the Instrument requires that matching service utility develop and maintain reasonable business continuity plans, including disaster recovery plans. Business continuity planning should encompass all policies and procedures to ensure uninterrupted provision of key services regardless of the cause of potential disruption. In fulfilling the requirement to develop and maintain reasonable business continuity plans, the Canadian securities regulatory authorities expect that matching service utilities are to remain current with best practices for business continuity planning and to adopt them to the extent that they address their critical business needs.
- (2) A matching service utility's business continuity plan and its associated arrangements should be subject to frequent review and testing. At a minimum, under paragraph 6.8(b), such tests must be conducted annually. Tests should address various scenarios that simulate wide-scale disasters and inter-site switchovers. The matching service utility's employees should be thoroughly trained to execute the business continuity plan and participants, critical service providers, and linked clearing agencies should be regularly involved in the testing and be provided with a general summary of the testing results. The CSA expects that the matching service utility will also facilitate and participate in industry-wide testing of the business continuity plan. The matching service utility should make appropriate adjustments to its business continuity plan and associated arrangements based on the results of the testing exercises.

### 15. Section 5.1 is changed by

- a. replacing, in the second sentence, "T+3" with "T+2"
- b. renumbering footnote 10 to 11.
- 16. This Document becomes effective as of September 5, 2017.