

BC NOTICE 2002/39

Proposed Amendments to Multilateral Instrument 45-103 Capital Raising Exemptions and Proposed Adoption in Additional Jurisdictions

Publication for Comment

The Commission and the securities regulatory authorities in each of Alberta, Manitoba, Newfoundland and Labrador, Northwest Territories, Nova Scotia, Nunavut, Prince Edward Island and Saskatchewan are publishing the following documents for comment:

- Multilateral Instrument 45-103 Capital Raising Exemptions (Proposed MI 45-103);
- 45-103CP Companion Policy;
- Form 45-103F1 Offering Memorandum for Non-Qualifying Issuers;
- Form 45-103F2 Offering Memorandum for Qualifying Issuers;
- Form 45-103F3 Risk Acknowledgement;
- Form 45-103F4 Report of Exempt Distribution; and
- Form 45-103F5 Risk Acknowledgement Saskatchewan Close Personal Friends and Close Business Associates.

Background

In Spring 2002, British Columbia and Alberta adopted Multilateral Instrument 45-103 *Capital Raising Exemptions* (Current MI 45-103). Subsequently, several other jurisdictions expressed an interest in the instrument. Accordingly, we formed a committee of staff from each of the jurisdictions to draft Proposed MI 45-103.

Summary of Proposed MI 45-103

If adopted, Proposed MI 45-103 will provide three largely harmonized exemptions from the prospectus and dealer registration requirements in securities legislation. Those exemptions are as follows:

- private issuer exemption;
- family, friends and business associates exemption; and
- accredited investor exemption.

Proposed MI 45-103 will also provide three variations of the offering memorandum exemption. The differences primarily relate to whether a purchaser must meet certain eligibility criteria before investing. Although the offering memorandum exemption is not uniform, we believe that industry will still benefit because they will be able to look to one rule for multi-jurisdictional offerings. As well, the forms of offering memorandum and risk acknowledgement will be the same in each jurisdiction. The differences in the

offering memorandum exemption among the jurisdictions primarily relate to whether a purchaser must meet certain eligibility criteria before investing.

The terms of the proposed exemptions are summarized in Appendix A.

Summary of Proposed Changes from the Current MI 45-103

Current MI 45-103 continues to be in force in British Columbia and Alberta. If Proposed MI 45-103 is adopted, it will replace the Current MI 45-103. The most significant proposed amendments to Current MI 45-103 are:

- the participation of the additional jurisdictions;
- the terms of the proposed offering memorandum exemption to be adopted in each of the additional jurisdictions;
- the proposed restrictions on commissions payable under the private issuer and family, friends and business associates exemption;
- the introduction of a new Report of Exempt Distribution that will replace BC Form 45-902F for issuers reporting distributions under exemptions in Proposed MI 45-103; and
- the addition of the Saskatchewan Risk Acknowledgement for use in Saskatchewan for distributions based on close personal friendship and close business association.

We have made other minor amendments to Proposed MI 45-103 to deal with issues that have been raised by the other jurisdictions or the public since adoption of Current MI 45-103. Generally, the effect of these minor amendments in British Columbia and Alberta is to provide slightly more liberal exemptions and to clarify issues. A summary of each of the proposed amendments to the Current MI 45-103 and the reasons for them are set out in **Appendix B**.

Securities Amendment Act, 2002

This spring, the Legislature passed the *Securities Amendment Act, 2002*, which was largely brought into force by Royal Assent on May 9, 2002. The amendments repealed certain of the statutory exemptions that duplicated the exemptions provided in Current MI 45-103. For the full text of the amendments, please see the <u>explanatory note</u> on the *Securities Amendment Act, 2002* on our public web site (<u>www.bcsc.bc.ca</u>).

Some of the amendments in the *Securities Amendment Act, 2002* were not immediately brought into force. These include:

- the repeal of the \$97,000 exemption (sections 45(2)(5) and 74(2)(4)),
- the implementation of liability for misrepresentation in an offering memorandum (section 132.1),
- the implementation of a right of action for failure to deliver an offering memorandum (section 135.1), and
- the implementation of a right to cancel an agreement to purchase securities within 2 days of signing the agreement (section 138.1).

All of these amendments will be brought into force by regulation by the end of September, except for the repeal of the \$97,000 exemption.

Alberta and the other jurisdictions are considering whether to introduce similar statutory rights of action as British Columbia.

We intend to retain the \$97,000 exemption until we have had an opportunity to assess who is using that exemption and why they are using the \$97,000 exemption rather than the accredited investor exemption. We will retain the \$97,000 exemption until at least April 2003.

Currently, all of the jurisdictions have an exemption similar to the \$97,000 exemption. In some of the jurisdictions, the minimum acquisition cost is \$150,000 rather than \$97,000. If we determine that it is necessary to retain the \$97,000 exemption, British Columbia and the other jurisdictions will consider adopting a uniform exemption.

Request for Comment

Although we are seeking comment on all aspects of Proposed MI 45-103, we also invite you to comment specifically on the following four issues:

- 1. In Current MI 45-103 and in Ontario Securities Commission Rule 45-501 *Exempt Distributions*, registered charities are included in the list of accredited investors. However, we are concerned that registered charities do not necessarily have either investment acumen or the ability to withstand the loss of an investment.
 - Is it appropriate for registered charities to be included in the list of accredited investors?
 - Are there additional conditions that should be imposed, e.g., a net asset threshold, to help ensure that a registered charity has the ability to withstand the loss of an investment?
- 2. The accredited investor and \$97,000 exemptions require that a purchaser be purchasing as principal. When portfolio managers, insurers and trust companies purchase securities for accounts that are fully managed by them, they may not, technically, be purchasing as principal. Currently, section 74(1) of the Act deems portfolio managers, insurers and trust companies to be purchasing as principal when purchasing for accounts fully managed by them. Unfortunately, the definitions of portfolio manager and trust company refer only to those registered or incorporated in British Columbia. BCI 45-504 *Trades to Trust Companies, Insurers and Portfolio Managers Outside British Columbia* extends the exemptions to portfolio managers, insurers and trust companies incorporated in other jurisdictions of Canada. BCI 45-504 also extends the exemptions to foreign portfolio managers if they manage investment portfolios on behalf of clients having a total asset value of not less than \$20,000,000 and file an additional undertaking and certification.

Proposed MI 45-103 includes a provision that portfolio managers and trust companies registered or incorporated elsewhere in Canada are deemed to be purchasing as principal when purchasing for accounts fully managed by them.

- Should the instrument be expanded to permit portfolio managers and trust companies registered or incorporated outside of Canada to be deemed to be purchasing as principal when purchasing for accounts fully managed by them?
- If so, given that these foreign entities may not be subject to comparable regulatory regimes, what additional restrictions should be imposed on these foreign entities?
- 3. Under the comparable legislation in Alberta and the other jurisdictions, insurers are not included in the entities deemed to be purchasing as principal when purchasing for accounts fully managed by them.
 - Should Proposed MI 45-103 deem insurers (insurance companies) to be purchasing as principal when purchasing for accounts fully managed by them?
- 4. At present, when an issuer relies on one of the exemptions in Current MI 45-103 to distribute securities in British Columbia and Alberta, the issuer must file a report of exempt distribution (Form 45-902F) in British Columbia and a report of trade (Form 20) in Alberta. The two reports require different information and follow a different format. Under Proposed MI 45-103, an issuer will be subject to one uniform reporting requirement and the form of report will be largely identical.

Some jurisdictions require additional information in the report of exempt distribution. In Saskatchewan, if an issuer is relying on the family, friends and business associates exemption to distribute securities to a close personal friend or close business associate resident in Saskatchewan, the issuer must identify the name and position of the relevant director, senior officer, founder or control person and describe in detail the nature of the relationship between the purchaser and the relevant director, senior officer, founder or control person.

In British Columbia, an issuer must provide the telephone number and e-mail address of purchasers under the offering memorandum exemption who are resident in British Columbia.

In British Columbia, reports of exempt distribution are available for viewing on our website. The other jurisdictions do not make these reports available on their websites, but they are available for viewing by a member of the public if they attend the offices of the securities commission.

The Commission has concluded that not all the information in the report of exempt distribution should be available to the public. For example, the public does not need to know the names and addresses of all purchasers. However, the public is rightly interested in information about certain purchasers, such as the issuer's directors,

officers, control persons, promoters and insiders. These insiders must report their securities holdings of reporting issuers under insider reporting requirements. These requirements do not apply to non-reporting issuers. The report of exempt distribution is the only public source of information on this important group of purchasers.

The Commission is considering whether to replace our current report of exempt distribution (Form 45-902F) with the proposed Form 45-103F4, so that an issuer distributing securities under any exemption that requires a report will use the same form. None of the other jurisdictions intend to do this.

- Should the information concerning purchases made by an issuer's directors, officers, control persons, promoters and insiders in the report of exempt distribution be available to the public on our website?
- Should we replace our current report of exempt distribution (Form 45-902F) with the proposed Form 45-103F4? Is all of the information required in Form 45-103F4 easily available to issuers when using other exemptions, such as the arrangement exemption in sections 45(2)(9) and 74(2)(8) or in BCI 45-509 *Short Form Offerings of Listed Securities and Units by Qualifying Issuers?*

Submissions

Interested parties are encouraged to comment on Proposed MI 45-103. Comment letters received on or before November 19, 2002 will be considered. Comment letters can be delivered in hard copy, by fax or by e-mail. Please address your submission to:

Leslie Rose Senior Legal Counsel, Legal & Market Initiatives British Columbia Securities Commission P.O. Box 10142, Pacific Centre 701 West Georgia Street Vancouver, BC V7Y 1L2 Fax: (604) 899-6814 Tel: (604) 899-6654 or 1-800-373-6393 (in B.C. and Alberta) Irose@bcsc.bc.ca We will be sharing comment letters with Alberta and the other jurisdictions and therefore cannot maintain confidentiality of submissions.

September 20, 2002

Adrienne Salvail-Lopez Vice Chair

This Notice may refer to other documents. These documents can be found at the B.C. Securities Commission public website at www.bcsc.bc.ca in the Commission Documents database or the Historical Documents database.

Appendix A

to the Notice Proposed Amendments to Multilateral Instrument 45-103 *Capital Raising Exemptions* and Proposed Adoption in Additional Jurisdictions

Summary of Prospectus and Registration Exemptions under Proposed MI 45-103

Private Issuer Exemption

If an issuer meets the definition of private issuer under Proposed MI 45-103, the issuer and others may trade in the securities of the issuer to certain specified persons, including directors, officers, founders and control persons of the issuer as well as certain family members (including in-laws), close personal friends and close business associates of the directors, senior officers, founders and control persons, and accredited investors.

The private issuer exemption in Proposed MI 45-103 does not require that an offering memorandum or other disclosure document be provided to a potential investor. If an offering document is provided, it is not required to be in a prescribed form nor is it intended to trigger statutory rights of action for purchasers.

Under Proposed MI 45-103, commissions and finder's fees cannot be paid to a director, officer, founder or control person of an issuer in connection with a trade under the private issuer exemption, except to an accredited investor. In Saskatchewan, the proposed prohibition on commissions and finder's fees is broader and would prevent the payment of commissions or finder's fees to any person in connection with a trade to a Saskatchewan purchaser, except to an accredited investor.

In Saskatchewan, if trades are made under this exemption to Saskatchewan purchasers based on close personal friendship or close business association, the issuer would also be required to have the purchaser complete a Form 45-103F5 *Risk Acknowledgement – Saskatchewan Close Personal Friends and Close Business Associates* (Saskatchewan Risk Acknowledgement).

Family, Friends and Business Associates Exemption

Under this exemption, an issuer and others may trade securities of an issuer to directors, officers, founders and control persons of the issuer as well as certain family members (including in-laws), close personal friends, and close business associates of the directors, senior officers, founders and control persons. There is no prescribed limit on the number of purchasers under this exemption. However, the issuer must still ensure that the purchaser has the necessary relationship with a director, senior officer, founder or control person.

The exemption does not require that an offering memorandum or other disclosure document be provided to an investor. If an offering document is provided, it is not required to be in a prescribed form nor is it intended to trigger statutory rights of action for purchasers.

Commissions and finder's fees cannot be paid to a director, officer, founder or control person of an issuer in connection with a trade under the family, friends and business associates exemption. In Saskatchewan, commissions and finder's fees cannot be paid to any person under this exemption in connection with a trade to a Saskatchewan purchaser.

In addition, in Saskatchewan, if trades are made under this proposed exemption to Saskatchewan purchasers based on close personal friendship or close business association, the purchaser must complete a Saskatchewan Risk Acknowledgement.

Accredited Investor Exemption

Under this exemption, an issuer or others may trade securities of the issuer to any person or company that qualifies as an "accredited investor". There is no required minimum or maximum subscription. The term "accredited investor" is a defined term and refers to a list of persons and companies, including a variety of institutions, registered investment dealers, persons or companies with \$5 million in net assets and certain wealthy individuals having \$1 million in net realizable financial assets or \$200,000 pre-tax net income.

The exemption does not require that an offering memorandum or other disclosure document be provided to an investor. If an offering document is provided, it is not required to be in a prescribed form nor is it intended to trigger statutory rights of action for purchasers.

The definition of accredited investor in Proposed MI 45-103 was drafted to generally harmonize with the definition in Ontario Securities Commission ("OSC") Rule 45-501 *Exempt Distributions*. Certain differences in terminology were necessary because the OSC used terms defined in Ontario securities legislation and interpretation statutes. Because Proposed MI 45-103 is to be effective in more than one jurisdiction, it relies on definitions provided by National Instrument 14-101 *Definitions*. Certain other minor differences also exist.

Offering Memorandum Exemption

(a) General

Under the offering memorandum exemption, the issuer is required to deliver an offering memorandum in the required form to a purchaser and obtain from the purchaser a signed risk acknowledgement. The risk acknowledgement is a blunt statement of the risks associated with investing in exempt market securities, including the risk that the purchaser may lose the entire investment and may not be able to resell the securities.

(b) Eligibility Criteria

In British Columbia and Nova Scotia, any purchaser can invest under the offering memorandum exemption whatever amount the purchaser determines is suitable for them.

In Alberta and Manitoba, any purchaser can invest up to \$10,000; however, to invest more than \$10,000 the purchaser must be an "eligible investor".

In each of Newfoundland and Labrador, Northwest Territories, Nunavut, Prince Edward Island and Saskatchewan, every purchaser under an offering memorandum must be an "eligible investor" regardless of the amount invested.

The term "eligible investor" is defined in Proposed MI 45-103 to generally refer to a purchaser who meets certain financial tests (eg. \$75,000 pre-tax net income or \$400,000 net assets), or has obtained advice regarding the suitability of the investment from a registered investment dealer or, in Saskatchewan or Manitoba, who is a specified lawyer or accountant.

(c) Additional Restrictions in Saskatchewan, Northwest Territories and Nunavut In each of Saskatchewan, Northwest Territories and Nunavut, it has been proposed that the total amount that can be raised from trades to purchasers in those jurisdictions under the offering memorandum exemption will be limited to \$1 million. This \$1 million limitation would be calculated by including all prior offerings under the new offering memorandum exemption. In addition, in those three jurisdictions, commissions and finder's fees relating to purchasers in those jurisdictions will be permitted only if they are paid to registered dealers.

(d) Required form of offering memorandum

If an issuer is relying on the offering memorandum exemption in MI 45-103, it must prepare an offering memorandum in accordance with Form 45-103F1 *Offering Memorandum for Non-Qualifying Issuers* (Non-QI OM form) unless the issuer is a "qualifying issuer" as defined under Multilateral Instrument 45-102 *Resale of Securities*. A qualifying issuer has the option of using Form 45-103F2 *Offering Memorandum for Qualifying Issuers* (QI OM form).

A Non-QI OM is required to contain specific disclosure about the issuer, its business and management. It is also required to contain specific financial statements for the issuer and, in some cases, must also contain financial statements for businesses acquired or to be acquired by the issuer.

A QI OM contains minimal information about the issuer's business and management and is not required to have financial statements attached. However, an issuer preparing an offering memorandum in accordance with the QI OM form must incorporate by reference specific documents from the issuer's continuous disclosure base. For example, the issuer is required to incorporate by reference into its offering memorandum, the financials statements it has filed via SEDAR.

(e) Updating an Offering Memorandum

There is no limit on the number of purchasers that may purchase under the MI 45-103 offering memorandum exemption. Once created, an offering memorandum may be used repeatedly for various offerings. However, the offering memorandum must be updated to incorporate annual financial statements and, in the case of a qualifying issuer, the current AIF (annual information form). The offering memorandum must also be updated if circumstances change such that the information in the offering memorandum contains a misrepresentation. This could occur, for example, if there was a material change in the issuer's business or affairs. An issuer cannot accept a subscription from a potential purchaser who was provided an earlier version of an offering memorandum until the update is provided.

An issuer must file a signed copy of each offering memorandum and each update with the securities regulatory authority of the jurisdictions in which the distribution took place.

(f) Rights of action for purchasers under the Proposed MI 45-103 offering memorandum exemption

A purchaser who purchases securities under a Non-QI OM or QI OM has certain rights of action.

- 1. An issuer will be required to provide a two day right of withdrawal to a purchaser who is sold securities under the Proposed MI 45-103 offering memorandum exemption. If securities legislation in the purchaser's jurisdiction does not statutorily provide this right, it must be given to the purchaser contractually.
- 2. An issuer must disclose in its offering memorandum any statutory rights of action available to a purchaser in the event that the offering memorandum contains a misrepresentation.
- 3. If a purchaser under the MI 45-103 offering memorandum exemption is in a jurisdiction that does not provide statutory rights of action, the issuer must provide the purchaser with the specified contractual rights of action to sue for damages or rescission.

(g) Exclusion of Mutual Funds

Proposed MI 45-103 will provide that, except in British Columbia and Nova Scotia, certain mutual fund issuers cannot rely on the offering memorandum exemption. The mutual fund issuers that are precluded from using the MI 45-103 offering memorandum exemption are those issuers that, if they were conducting a prospectus offering, would be subject to National Instrument 81-101 *Mutual Fund Prospectus Disclosure*. MI 45-103 was conceived of as an initiative to assist small to medium-sized enterprises and consequently, the forms of offering memoranda are not well suited for mutual funds. We are considering whether it is appropriate to design a form of offering memorandum more

suitable for mutual fund issuers and whether other exemptions for mutual fund issuers would be appropriate.

Appendix B

to the Notice Proposed Amendments to Multilateral Instrument 45-103 *Capital Raising Exemptions* and Proposed Adoption in Additional Jurisdictions

Summary of Proposed Amendments to Current MI 45-103

Proposed Change	Reason for Change
s.1.1 - accredited investor definition, old (k) - removed registered charities from the definition of accredited investor.	Concern was expressed that some registered charities may be unsophisticated and should perhaps not be considered accredited investors. The Committee decided to seek public comment on whether it is appropriate to include registered charities in the list of accredited investors.
s.1.1 - accredited investor definition, (k) - removed "jointly" from financial asset test for individual accredited investors.	Concern was expressed that the word "jointly" suggested that the financial assets had to be held by the spouses as "joint tenants". The Committee did not intend this interpretation so the word jointly has been removed.
s.1.1 - accredited investor definition, (m) - the category has been expanded to permit any person or company (other than a mutual fund or non-redeemable investment fund) with \$5 million in net assets to qualify as an accredited investor.	The provision in Current MI 45-103 does not allow individuals or general partnerships with \$5 million in net assets to qualify as accredited investors. The Committee felt there was no reason to exclude these persons from the definition of accredited investor. The asset test in 1.1(k) only includes financial assets (cash and securities) and is therefore quite narrow. The Committee felt that an individual with \$5 million in net assets should be considered sufficiently wealthy to withstand the loss of an investment.
s.1.1 - accredited investor definition, (o) - the section has been clarified to indicate that a mutual fund or non-redeemable investment fund is an accredited investor if it has ever filed a prospectus.	We understand that the provision in Current MI 45-103 may have been interpreted to mean that a mutual fund must be currently in distribution under a prospectus to qualify as an accredited investor. We amended the language to clarify that this was not our intention. Other rules may restrict the ability of mutual funds and non- redeemable investment funds to invest unless they are currently in distribution; however, it is not necessary for us to repeat the restrictions in the definition of accredited investor. To do so would be redundant and may create conflict and confusion if and when those other rules are changed.
s.1.1 - accredited investor definition, (p) & (q) - addition of trust companies and portfolio managers trading for fully managed accounts to the list of accredited investors and s.1.2 deeming these entities to be purchasing as principal.	Not all jurisdictions have a provision (equivalent to s.132(1) of the <i>Securities Act</i> (Alberta) and s.74(1) of the <i>Securities Act</i> (British Columbia)) which deems trust corporations and portfolio managers to be purchasing as principal so s.1.2 was necessary. Furthermore, the current statutory wording only deems trust companies incorporated in the local jurisdiction and portfolio managers registered in the local jurisdiction to be purchasing as principal. The new sections 1.1(p) and (q) accommodate trust companies and portfolio managers across Canada. However, PEI trust company legislation may not be comparable to that which exists in other jurisdictions and therefore trust companies incorporated only in PEI are not deemed to be purchasing as principal.

Proposed Change	Reason for Change
F	accounts fully-managed by them. In addition, in BC under BCI 45- 504 <i>Trades to Trust Companies, Insurers and Portfolio Managers</i> <i>Outside British Columbia</i> , portfolio managers outside of Canada can purchase as principal if they manage investment portfolios on behalf of clients having a total asset value of not less than \$20,000,000 and file a certificate with the BCSC.
	We are seeking public comment on whether insurers should also be deemed to be acting as principal for accounts fully managed by them. In addition, we are seeking public comment on whether foreign trust companies and portfolio managers should also be deemed to be purchasing as principal when purchasing for accounts fully managed by them.
s.1.1 Definitions of "control person", "reporting issuer" and "non-redeemable investment fund" have been added.	Not all jurisdictions have these definitions in their legislation. The definition of "control person" does not override the statutory definition in those jurisdictions that do have a definition. The definition of reporting issuer contemplates a reporting issuer in any jurisdiction. Consequently, an issuer that otherwise met the definition of private issuer would not be considered to be a private issuer if it was a reporting issuer in some jurisdiction. The concept of "non-redeemable investment fund" comes from the civil liability proposal and proposed National Instrument 51-102 <i>Continuous Disclosure Obligations</i> .
Definitions of "fully managed account", "MI 45-102" and "qualifying issuer" added.	The definition of fully managed account was added to help clarify when portfolio managers and trust companies acting on behalf of clients can be considered to be acting as principal under the accredited investor exemption. The definitions of MI 45-102 and qualifying issuer were added for drafting convenience and for better direction to readers of the instrument.
Definition of "eligibility adviser" has been added and in SK and MB, lawyers and accountants can provide the advice.	The concept of eligibility adviser exists in the Current MI 45-103 as part of the Alberta offering memorandum exemption (ie. investors who do not meet the financial tests in the eligible investor definition can invest more than \$10,000 if they obtain advice from a registered investment dealer). In the Proposed MI 45-103, the concept has been turned into a defined term. In addition, we understand that there may be very few investment dealers in SK & MB and consequently, lawyers and accountants are currently permitted to give advice under certain of the exemptions in SK & MB. The definition of eligibility adviser has been expanded to accommodate this. However, lawyers and accountants will not be considered to be acceptable advisers under the laws of any other jurisdictions.
Definition of "eligible investor" now includes persons included in the family, friends and business associates.	This was done to give family, friends and business associates the option of investing under an offering memorandum if they choose. Currently, a family member, friend or business associate can only invest under an offering memorandum if they meet the financial tests for an eligible investor. It seemed incongruous to the Committee that these persons are permitted to invest without any disclosure but only have a right to invest with the additional protections of an offering memorandum (and therefore statutory rights of action) if they meet certain financial tests or get advice. We do not want to mandate that these persons must get an offering memorandum but we do want to permit them that option, if they so choose.

Proposed Change	Reason for Change
Definition of "founder" added.	The definition of founder is similar to the statutory definition of promoter which currently exists in most securities legislation but clarifies that the individual must still be involved with the issuer. Promoters are not included in the family, friends and business associates exemption in the Current MI 45-03 because we thought that persons who would be promoters likely would also be directors or senior officers so reference to them was likely redundant. Furthermore, the definition of promoter has no clear time limit. We wanted to ensure that only promoters currently involved with the issuer were included. Some of the Participating Jurisdictions have indicated that they require the concept of promoter to be included, as they see offerings in which individuals are promoters but not directors, senior officers or control persons. To accommodate this request but to ensure that the promoter is still involved with the issuer, we have adopted a new term, founder. The term founder requires that the individual be currently involved with the issuer.
Section 2.2 & 3.2 - restrictions on commissions in the private issuer and family, friends and business associates exemptions.	Concern was expressed that it was not appropriate to allow directors, officers and control persons of an issuer to get commissions for selling securities to their family, friends and business associates. Accordingly, a restriction has been added to preclude this.
Section 2.2 & 3.2 - all commissions prohibited in SK.	This is a restriction that currently exists in SK. The provision will only apply in SK and in regard to sales to SK purchasers.
Sections 2.3 & 3.3 - new requirement to file a modified risk acknowledgement when selling securities (under the private issuer or family, friends and business associates exemption) to Saskatchewan purchasers if the purchaser is investing on the basis of friendship or business association.	Currently, in SK, investors who invest based on a relationship of friendship or business association must be advised of the risks of investing and to file a statement describing the relationship. A new form Form 45-103F5 has been developed to address this issue in the context of Proposed MI 45-103. The form will only be required in SK with regard to sales to SK purchasers.
Section 2.1(c) & 3.1(c) - expanded the exemptions to permit in-laws of directors, senior officers, founders and control persons to be included as permitted placees.	In SK in-laws are currently permitted to invest under the SK statutory family, close friends and business associates exemption. Proposed MI 45-103 has been expanded to also permit this. The Committee agreed to recommend expanding the group in our jurisdictions because the relationship appeared to be sufficiently close.
Sections 2.1(i) & (j) and 3.1(h) &(i) - expands the exemption to permit companies and trusts controlled by permitted placees to invest.	The wording in Current MI 45-103 requires that the issuer be wholly owned by any combination of permitted placees listed in the exemption. This can prevent investment by family trusts or holding companies in which various family members participate unless all family members are permitted placees. This was thought to be unnecessarily restrictive. We thought it sufficient if the company or trust was controlled by one of the permitted placees because the individual controlling the company or trust would have the necessary connection to the issuer to make the investment decision.
Section 4.1(5) - \$1 million restriction in Saskatchewan, Northwest Territories and Nunavut.	The statutory offering memorandum exemption that currently exists in SK restricts the total amount that can be raised to \$1 million. Under Proposed MI 45-103 this restriction will continue to exist in SK. Northwest Territories and Nunavut have indicated that they also propose to adopt this restriction.

Proposed Change	Reason for Change
Section 4.1(6) - added a restriction in Saskatchewan, Northwest Territories and Nunavut on the payment of commissions and finder's fees. They can only be paid to registered dealers.	This restriction currently exists in SK and will continue to apply in SK under Proposed MI 45-103.
Section 4.3(1) - added clarification that the 2 day right of withdrawal need only be provided by contract if it is not provided by securities legislation.	BC expects that the statutory 2 day right of withdrawal will be in place shortly. AB hopes that the statutory right will be available by Spring 2003. This change was made to contemplate the various future legislative amendments.
Section 4.5 – number of years that issuer must retain risk acknowledgement increased from 6 to 8 years.	This change was made because the limitation period in certain jurisdictions is 8 years not 6.
Section 4.8 – deleted reference to MI 45- 102.	The reference was no longer necessary because we have defined "qualifying issuer".
Section 6.3 - resale restrictions added to deal with underlying securities acquired on exercise of convertible securities.	MI 45-103 does not address the resale restrictions applicable to underlying securities acquired on exercise or conversion of convertible securities. This issue is dealt with in separate BC & AB local instruments that amend Multilateral Instrument 45-102 <i>Resale</i> <i>of Securities</i> . This new provision will allow the other jurisdictions to adopt MI 45-103 without amending MI 45-102 and will supercede the separate local BC & AB instruments.
Section 6.4 - added Manitoba resale restrictions.	MI 45-102 only applies in part in MB because MB is an open jurisdiction. Accordingly, we thought it appropriate to include in the rule the resale restrictions that apply in Manitoba rather than requiring readers to refer to a separate Manitoba instrument.
Section 7.1 - removal of requirement for an investor to file a report of exempt distribution when selling securities under an exemption.	BC only requires the issuer to file a report when relying on a prospectus exemption. Many of the other jurisdictions require anyone relying on a specified exemption to file a report. The Committee agreed to recommend eliminating the requirement for a selling security holder to file a report. The issuer's reporting requirement remains.
Part 8 - required forms.	All jurisdictions will require the same forms. However, BC is not referenced in Part 8 because BCSC does not want to prescribe the forms as rules. The Executive Director in BC is expected to prescribe the forms.
Offering memorandum forms - changes in Part 1 to refer to net proceeds and to add a new section dealing with working capital deficiencies.	The various references to available funds and use of available funds have been changed to refer to net proceeds and use of net proceeds. The calculation of available funds required that working capital be added or a working capital deficiency be deducted from the net proceeds. In some circumstances, disclosure of available funds may be misleading, for example, if an issuer has a working capital deficiency but has no intention to use the net proceeds to reduce the working capital deficiency. Although working capital or a working capital deficiency will now be excluded from sections 1.1 and 1.2, disclosure of any working capital deficiency is still considered material. Accordingly, a new section has been added to the Part 1 of the forms requiring disclosure of such deficiency.

Proposed Change	Reason for Change
Offering memorandum forms - addition in item 6 of a requirement to provide information regarding RRSP eligibility.	The issuer is required to either warn investors that not all securities are RRSP eligible or to provide advice regarding RRSP eligibility. Some jurisdictions expressed concerns that investors often incorrectly assume that their investment is RRSP eligible. The statement is designed to warn investors without necessarily compelling issuers to provide an RRSP eligibility opinion.
Non-qualifying issuer offering memorandum form - addition of a new item 12.	Some issuers that have filed non-qualifying issuer offering memoranda have not attached financial statements to the offering memorandum. Although the instructions to the form indicate financial statements are required, the additional item is intended to act as a reminder and clarify that the financial statement disclosure is also being certified.
Form 45-103F3 - statement added regarding advice and changed reference to securities commission to securities regulatory authority.	A statement has been added to clarify that except in BC and NS, the investor may be required to seek advice regarding the investment. The reference to securities commission has been changed to securities regulatory authority because in some jurisdictions there is no commission, just a division of a government department.
New Form 45-103F4.	This is a proposed new report of exempt distribution. It is intended to replace the current report (eg. in AB, Form 20) in relation to exempt distributions made under MI 45-103. BCSC intends to publish information relating to investment by insiders and registrants but not others. Accordingly, two schedules to the form have been prepared, so that only schedule A with information regarding insiders and registrants will be made public. Schedule B will provide information on "public" investors and will be kept confidential. The SSC has requested that an additional column be added to the Form when the trade is made to an SK purchaser based on a close personal friendship or close business association. This is a requirement that currently exists in SK. BCSC will not adopt the new Form 45-103F4 as a rule. The Executive Director in BC will prescribe a separate BC form intended to be identical except that when reporting trades to purchasers under the offering memorandum exemption, the BCSC will require the purchaser's e-mail address and telephone number to be provided. The BCSC is collecting this information for survey purposes so that they can contact investors under their monitoring program. The BCSC only intend to require this information for a temporary period
New Form 45-103F5 (Saskatchewan only)	so including it in Form 45-103F4 was not considered appropriate. However, the Form 45-103F4 published for comment will include a reference to the additional information to be required by the BCSC. In this way input from market participants in other jurisdictions can also be solicited. This is Saskatchewan's modified risk acknowledgement form - it is the form that will be required to be completed by investors investing on the basis of friendship or business association.