

### Notice and Request for Comment

Proposed Amendments to National Instrument 41-101 General Prospectus Requirements and Companion Policy 41-101CP to National Instrument 41-101 General Prospectus Requirements

and

Proposed Amendments to National Instrument 44-101 Short Form Prospectus Distributions and Companion Policy 44-101CP to National Instrument 44-101 Short Form Prospectus Distributions

and

Proposed Amendments to National Instrument 44-102 *Shelf Distributions* and Companion Policy 44-102CP to National Instrument 44-102 *Shelf Distributions* 

and

Proposed Amendments to National Instrument 81-101 *Mutual Fund Prospectus Disclosure* 

and

#### **Proposed Consequential Amendments**

July 15, 2011

#### Introduction

We, the Canadian Securities Administrators (CSA), are publishing for a 90-day comment period proposed amendments to:

- National Instrument 41-101 General Prospectus Requirements (NI 41-101);
- Companion Policy 41-101CP Companion Policy to National Instrument 41-101 General Prospectus Requirements (41-101CP);
- National Instrument 44-101 Short Form Prospectus Distributions (NI 44-101);

- Companion Policy 44-101CP to National Instrument 44-101 Short Form Prospectus Distributions (44-101CP);
- National Instrument 44-102 *Shelf Distributions* (NI 44-102);
- Companion Policy 44-102CP *to National Instrument 44-102 Shelf Distributions* (**44-102CP**); and
- National Instrument 81-101 Mutual Fund Prospectus Disclosure (NI 81-101).

We are also publishing proposed consequential amendments to:

- National Instrument 52-107 Acceptable Accounting Principles and Auditing Standards (NI 52-107);
- Companion Policy 52-107CP to *National Instrument 52-107 Acceptable Accounting Principles and Auditing Standards* (**52-107 CP**);
- National Instrument 51-102 *Continuous Disclosure Obligations* (NI 51-102); and
- National Instrument 13-101 *System for Electronic Document and Analysis Retrieval* (NI 13-101).

The references above to a national instrument include its form(s).

The proposed amendments to NI 41-101, 41-101CP, NI 44-101, 44-101CP, NI 44-102, 44-102CP and NI 81-101 are collectively referred to in this notice as the "**Proposed Amendments**".

## **Proposed Text**

The text of the Proposed Amendments is contained in the following Appendices A to K.

Appendix A	Proposed Amendments to NI 41-101 and 41-101CP	
Appendix B	Blackline Showing Proposed Changes to NI 41-101 and 41-101CP	
Appendix C	Proposed Amendments to NI 44-101 and 44-101CP	
Appendix D	Blackline Showing Proposed Changes to NI 44-101 and 44-101CP	
Appendix E	Proposed Amendments to NI 44-102 and 44-102CP	
Appendix F	Proposed Amendments to NI 81-101	
Appendix G	Blackline Showing Proposed Changes to NI 81-101	
Appendix H	Proposed Consequential Amendments to NI 52-107 and 52-107CP	
Appendix I	Blackline Showing Proposed Changes to NI 52-107 and 52-107CP	
Appendix J	Proposed Consequential Amendments to NI 51-102	
Appendix K	Proposed Consequential Amendments to NI 13-101	

We invite comment on the Proposed Amendments.

## Background

NI 41-101 provides a comprehensive set of prospectus requirements for issuers. NI 44-101 sets out requirements for an issuer intending to file a prospectus in the form of a short form prospectus. NI 44-102 sets out requirements for a distribution under a short form prospectus using shelf procedures. NI 81-101 sets out requirements for an issuer that is a mutual fund to file a simplified prospectus, annual information form and fund facts document. NI 41-101, NI 44-101, NI 44-102 and NI 81-101 are collectively referred to in this notice as the "**Prospectus Rules**".

## **Purpose of the Proposed Amendments**

The primary purpose of the Proposed Amendments is to amend the Prospectus Rules and their related companion policies to address user experience and the CSA's experience with the Prospectus Rules since the implementation of the general prospectus rule, NI 41-101, on March 17, 2008. As part of a post-adoption process following implementation of NI 41-101, the CSA has tracked issues that have arisen in connection with NI 41-101 and other Prospectus Rules and has developed amendments to address those issues where warranted.

The Proposed Amendments to the Prospectus Rules are intended to:

- clarify certain provisions of the Prospectus Rules;
- address significant identified gaps in the Prospectus Rules;
- modify certain requirements in the Prospectus Rules to enhance their effectiveness;
- remove or streamline certain requirements in the Prospectus Rules that are burdensome for issuers and of limited utility for investors or securityholders; and
- codify prospectus relief that has been granted in the past.

#### **Summary of Key Proposed Amendments**

This section describes the key Proposed Amendments. It is not a complete list of all the Proposed Amendments.

Certain key Proposed Amendments apply to all issuers other than investment funds. These are described below in Part I under sections (a) through (k). Other key Proposed Amendments apply specifically to investment funds. These are described below in Part II under sections (l) through (s).

## Part I - Key Proposed Amendments Generally Applicable to Issuers

## (a) No Minimum Offering Amount

In the course of conducting prospectus reviews, the CSA identified concerns with certain best effort offerings that were not subject to a minimum offering amount by issuers that:

- faced significant short-term non-discretionary expenditures or significant short-term capital or contractual commitments, and
- did not appear to have other readily accessible resources to satisfy those expenditures or commitments.

While an issuer may not propose to provide a minimum offering amount, the CSA has determined that additional disclosure is warranted in such cases. The CSA therefore proposes enhanced requirements in connection with the issuer's use of proceeds, as set out in proposed new subsections 6.3(3) and (4) of Form 41-101F1 *Information Required in a Prospectus* (Form 41-101F1) and equivalent new subsections 4.2(3) and (4) of Form 44-101F1 *Short Form Prospectus* (Form 44-101F1). However, regulators may still expect an issuer to provide a minimum offering amount in certain circumstances depending on the severity of the issuer's financial situation, results of the regulator's review and the application of receipt refusal provisions under securities law. This is clarified in a Proposed Amendment to section 2.2.1 of 41-101CP.

## (b) Personal Information Form Reforms

In order to help regulators determine the suitability of directors and executive officers of an issuer filing a prospectus, the CSA introduced a detailed personal information form (**PIF**) for directors and executive officers in 2008. Since that time, we have identified a number of issues with the PIF filing requirement. For instance, under the current rules, an issuer is not required to submit a new PIF for an individual even if a number of years has passed since the filing of the previous PIF, nor is an issuer required to confirm that the previously filed PIF is still correct. Additionally, the rules do not permit us to accept the PIF that a different issuer may have filed for the same individual.

The CSA therefore proposes the following changes to the PIF:

- 1. We propose to define a "personal information form" in NI 41-101 to formally include a TSX or TSX Venture Exchange PIF, provided that an NI 41-101 certificate and consent is appended to it and the information contained in the PIF continues to be correct at the time that the NI 41-101 certificate and consent is executed.
- 2. We propose to require that an issuer file a PIF with the regulator for an individual (i.e. director, executive officer, etc. as prescribed under subparagraph 9.1(b)(ii) of NI 41-101) at the time of each prospectus filing.
- 3. We propose to exempt the issuer from the requirement described in paragraph 2 above if, at the time of the prospectus filing:
  - (a) an issuer filed a PIF of that individual with the regulator within the past 3 years;

- (b) the responses of that individual to certain key questions in his or her PIF (questions 4(b) and (c) and questions 6 through 9 of the current PIF and questions 6 through 10 of the proposed amended PIF) have not changed; and
- (c) a certificate is filed by the issuer identifying the previous PIF filing (by either appending the previously filed PIF to the certificate or providing certain information) and giving the confirmation in paragraph (b) above.
- 4. We propose to make minor amendments to the PIF to remove certain personal questions that are of limited utility and to align with the TSX and TSX Venture Exchange PIFs.

## (c) Contractual Rights of Rescission

The CSA has identified an investor protection concern that arises where the distribution of a convertible, exchangeable or exercisable security is qualified under a prospectus and the subsequent conversion, exchange or exercise is made on a prospectus-exempt basis within a short period of time following the purchase of the original security under the prospectus. Under provincial securities legislation in effect in most provinces, the purchaser does not have a right of rescission in respect of the underlying security.

For this reason, we propose to modify the guidance in section 2.9 of 41-101CP to clarify that in certain circumstances, the issuer should provide the purchaser with a contractual right of rescission in respect of the issuance of the underlying security where the conversion, exchange or exercise of the security could occur within a short period of time (generally within 180 days) of the purchase of the security under the prospectus.

# (d) Interaction of Items 32 and 35 in Form 41-101F1: Significant Acquisitions that Are Also Acquisitions of a Primary Business or Predecessor Entity

A proposed or completed significant acquisition by an issuer filing a prospectus in the form of Form 41-101F1 may also constitute an acquisition of a primary business for the issuer or a predecessor entity of the issuer. For example, this is generally the case where the significance of the acquisition to the issuer exceeds 100%. In these circumstances, the issuer must include financial statements pursuant to Item 32 of Form 41-101F1 (by operation of section 32.1 of Form 41-101F1), rather than Item 35 of Form 41-101F1.

However, the interaction of Items 32 and 35 of Form 41-101F1 – both of which could apply to a significant acquisition by an issuer have been confusing to some users, particularly in the case of reporting issuers.

We have therefore clarified in both Items 32 and 35 of Form 41-101F1 that a nonreporting issuer or a shell reporting issuer that has carried out a significant acquisition that constitutes the acquisition of a primary business or predecessor entity of the issuer is required to disclose the financial statements under Item 32 and not under Item 35. The imposition of this clarifying provision regarding subsequent prospectus filings by shell reporting issuers does not represent a substantive new requirement because these issuers would generally have already had to report the significant acquisition in a previously filed information circular containing Item 32 prospectus-level disclosure for the significant acquisition.

The Proposed Amendments also clarify the circumstances when an issuer must provide pro forma financial statements if it has made an acquisition that constitutes the acquisition of a primary business or predecessor entity of the issuer.

Pursuant to new proposed section 32.7 of Form 41-101F1, we will only require the pro forma financial statement disclosure to reflect the effect of a proposed or completed acquisition of a primary business or predecessor entity by an issuer if such pro forma statements are necessary for full, true and plain disclosure of all material facts relating to the securities being distributed.

# (e) Exemption from Incorporation by Reference of Reports/Opinions Produced in Information Circular

The CSA proposes to codify relief we have granted to issuers allowing them to exclude from their prospectuses reports or opinions of experts that are incorporated by reference into the prospectus indirectly through the incorporation by reference of a special meeting information circular. These circulars generally relate to a restructuring transaction or other special business of the issuer where the issuer or its board of directors engaged an expert to provide advice that is specific to the business transacted at the special meeting.

For example, a board may retain a firm to provide a fairness opinion to assist the board in determining whether to recommend that a proposed transaction be approved by the issuer's shareholders. Similarly, an issuer may include a tax opinion that is specific to the proposed transaction. Given the limited purpose and nature of the expert's engagement, the CSA has determined that in some cases it is not necessary to incorporate by reference those types of reports or opinions that are specific in nature and scope. This proposed exemption is set out in proposed new subsection 11.1(3) of Form 44-101F1.

#### (f) Prior Sales and Trading Price and Volume Disclosure

The CSA proposes to modify the prospectus disclosure relating to prior sales information and trading price and volume information contained in Item 13 of Form 41-101F1 and Item 7A of Form 44-101F1 as follows:

- clarify that if an issuer is distributing a series of debt under the prospectus, it must provide prior sales and trading price and volume disclosure in respect of that series of debt; and
- streamline the prior sales and trading price and volume disclosure so that it only applies to the class or series of securities that is being distributed under the prospectus, as that information is the most relevant to the investor purchasing that security.

#### (g) Non-Issuer's Submission to the Jurisdiction and Appointment of Agent for Service

We propose to amend the requirement to file a non-issuer's submission to the jurisdiction and appointment of an agent for service contained in subparagraph 9.2(a)(vii) of NI 41-101, Appendix C of NI 41-101 and subparagraph 4.2(a)(vi) of NI 44-101. Under the current requirement in subparagraph 9.2(a)(vii) of NI 41-101, a person or company residing outside Canada that is required to sign or provide a certificate must submit to our jurisdiction and appoint an agent in Canada.

We propose to expand the existing requirement to all foreign directors of the issuer, as all directors are liable in our statutory liability regime for misrepresentations contained in the prospectus. The proposed amendments will be made to subparagraph 9.2(a)(vii) of NI 41-101 and subparagraph 4.2(a)(vi) of NI 44-101.

We also propose amendments to clarify the related disclosure on enforceability of judgments against foreign persons and companies in sections 1.12 of Form 41-101F1 and 1.11 of Form 44-101F1 accordingly.

#### Potential further extension of filing requirement to foreign experts

CSA staff are also considering, as part of the Proposed Amendments, to further extend the requirement to file a non-issuer's submission to the jurisdiction and appointment of an agent for service form to all foreign experts (such as, for example, "qualified persons" or auditors) who have consented to the disclosure in a prospectus of information from a report, opinion or statement made by them. These persons are liable under our statutory liability regime for misrepresentations in the prospectus that are derived from report, opinion or statement.

In order to effect this potential amendment subparagraph 9.2(a)(vii) of NI 41-101 would be amended to include "each person required to file a consent under section 10.1" and section 1.12 of Form 41-101F1 would be amended to encompass "a person who is required to file a consent under section 10.1 of the Instrument". Corresponding changes would also be made to NI 44-101 and Form 44-101F1.

We are interested in your comments on this potential change. Please refer to the "Comments" section of this Notice for our specific questions on the potential extension of the non-issuer's submission to the jurisdiction and appointment of an agent for service form filing requirement to all foreign experts. Upon consideration of public comments, CSA staff may determine to implement this change as part of the Proposed Amendments.

#### (h) Successor Issuer

Based on our prospectus reviews, we have reconsidered the successor issuer criteria for purposes of short-form eligibility. In the Proposed Amendments we have modified the successor issuer definition to address areas where further clarification was required, including:

- in circumstances where the successor issuer acquired a business from a predecessor that represented less than all of the predecessor's business, we have clarified that substantially all of the business must have been divested by the predecessor to the successor in order for the issuer to be considered a successor issuer. This amendment is intended to ensure that an issuer will only be considered a successor issuer (and thereby become short-form eligible despite its fairly recent status as a reporting issuer) if the historical financial statements of its predecessor are a relevant, accurate proxy for the successor issuer's financial statements; and
- we have clarified that a successor issuer can include a reverse takeover (**RTO**) acquiree, i.e. an issuer can be a successor to itself.

We have also expanded the application of section 2.7 of NI 44-101 to permit a capital pool company listed on the TSX Venture Exchange to be considered short-form eligible under this provision if it is a successor issuer and has filed a filing statement in connection with an RTO or a qualifying transaction.

#### (i) Primary Business Oil & Gas Exemption to Provide Operating Statements

We propose to extend the exemption available to oil and gas issuers carrying out acquisitions that would be considered acquisitions of a primary business or predecessor entity to rely on operating statements (in lieu of financial statements) when providing financial statement disclosure about the acquisition. This proposed exemption is found in new proposed section 32.9 of Form 41-101F1.

Also, based on prior requests for relief which we have granted, we have developed a provision to exempt an oil and gas issuer from having to provide an audited operating statement the third year back if a recent independent reserves evaluation (in the forms of Form 51-101F1 *Statement of Reserves Data and Other Oil and Gas Information*, Form 51-101F2 *Report on Reserves Data by Independent Qualified Reserves Evaluator or Auditor* and Form 51-101F3 *Report of Management and Directors on Oil and Gas Disclosure*) has been prepared (and included in the prospectus) with an effective date within 6 months of the preliminary prospectus receipt date.

#### (j) Notice of Intention Exemption

Presently an issuer that is new to the short form prospectus regime must file a notice declaring its intention to be qualified to file a short form prospectus at least 10 business days prior to the filing of the preliminary short form prospectus. We propose to exempt a successor issuer from having to wait the 10 business day period to file its preliminary prospectus if its predecessor issuer previously filed the notice of intention. The successor issuer would still need to file the notice of intention either prior to or concurrently with the filing of the preliminary prospectus. We also propose a similar exemption for a credit support issuer which relies upon the continuous disclosure record of its credit supporter.

#### (k) Time to File Final Prospectus

Presently, pursuant to subsection 2.3(1) of NI 41-101, an issuer must file its final prospectus no later than 90 days after the date of the receipt of its preliminary prospectus. We propose to clarify that if an issuer files an amendment to a preliminary prospectus, the 90-day time period will recommence from the date of the receipt of the amendment to the preliminary prospectus. However, irrespective of the filing of one or more amendments to the preliminary prospectus, an issuer shall not be permitted to file the final prospectus more than 180 days after the date of the receipt for the preliminary prospectus.

## Part II - Key Proposed Amendments Applicable to Investment Funds

## (I) Non-Canadian Investment Funds

We propose to extend the existing disclosure requirement for foreign investment fund managers to foreign investment funds and any other non-Canadian entity required to provide a certificate under Part 5 of NI 41-101 or other securities legislation.

## (m) Leverage Disclosure for Investment Funds

We propose to enhance the disclosure requirements relating to the use of leverage as an investment strategy in the prospectus summary and body of a prospectus in the form of Form 41-101F2 *Information Required in an Investment Fund Prospectus* (Form 41-101F2). The enhanced disclosure requirements are intended to provide investors with a better understanding of how the investment fund intends to utilize leverage and the nature of the leverage that may be used by the investment fund.

We propose to modify the prospectus disclosure in paragraph 3.3(1)(e) of Form 41-101F2 and paragraph 6.1(1)(b) of Form 41-101F2 as follows:

- for leverage created through borrowing or the issuance of preferred securities, the investment fund must disclose the maximum amount of leverage it may use as a ratio of its maximum total assets divided by its net asset value; and
- if leverage is created through the use of specified derivatives or similar instruments, the investment fund must disclose the maximum amount of leverage the investment fund may use as a multiple of net assets and explain how the investment fund uses the term "leverage" and the significance of the maximum and minimum amounts of leverage to the investment fund.

An instruction in Form 41-101F2 states that for the purposes of the above disclosure requirements, the term "specified derivative" has the same meaning as in National Instrument 81-102 *Mutual Funds*.

#### (n) Investment Fund Trading Expense Ratio Disclosure

In addition to the current requirement to disclose an investment fund's annual returns and management expense ratio for the past five years in subsection 3.6(4) of Form 41-101F2 and Item 11 of Form 41-101F2, we propose a requirement that the investment fund's trading expense ratio for the past five years be disclosed. An investment fund's trading expense ratio represents the total trading commissions and costs of the investment fund as a percentage of its net assets. This disclosure requirement will better enable investors to determine the full costs of owning an investment fund or compare the historical costs of different investment funds.

#### (o) Organization and Management Details of the Investment Fund

We propose to amend the current disclosure required by Item 19 of Form 41-101F2 relating to the organizational and management details of an investment fund to require the disclosure of the following additional information:

- an expanded requirement to disclose current or past bankruptcies of and cease trade orders against any issuer, as opposed to the current disclosure requirement that only applies to investment fund issuers, where the directors or executive officers of the investment fund or its investment fund manager were directors of or held specified executive positions with the issuer,
- enhanced disclosure of ownership interests in the investment fund and its investment fund manager for directors and executive officers of the investment fund and investment fund manager and members of the investment fund's independent review committee; and
- a new disclosure requirement relating to principal distributors of investment funds and a requirement that principal distributors of investment funds sign a prospectus certificate in the same form as the investment fund.

## (p) Principal Securityholders

We propose to amend the disclosure of principal securityholders of the investment fund as required by subsection 28.1(1) of Form 41-101F2, to limit disclosure to circumstances where this information is known or ought to be known by the investment fund or its investment fund manager. This amendment will predominantly affect exchange traded funds in continuous distribution (**ETFs**), who may not be able to readily determine their beneficial owners. Disclosure of this information has less utility for ETFs because it would only reflect ownership at a moment in time and beneficial securityholders of ETFs may change very quickly. The amendment is also consistent with exemptive relief from certain takeover bid requirements that many ETFs have received.

#### (q) Mutual Fund Personal Information Form Reforms

We have drafted reforms to the PIF delivery requirements in NI 81-101 that correspond with the proposed NI 41-101 reforms relating to the PIF. These amendments are intended to address the issues described above and conform the PIF delivery requirements for conventional mutual funds with those for other issuers.

### (r) Documents Incorporated by Reference in a Mutual Fund Prospectus

We propose to amend section 3.1 of NI 81-101 to require the incorporation by reference, where a mutual fund has not yet filed interim or annual financial statements, of the audited balance sheet filed with the mutual fund's simplified prospectus. We also propose to require the incorporation by reference of a mutual fund's interim financial statements and interim management report of fund performance (**MRFP**), where the mutual fund has not yet filed its annual comparative financial statements and annual MRFP.

## (s) Principal Distributor Certificate for Mutual Funds

We propose to amend the principal distributor certificate required by Form 81-101F2 *Contents of Annual Information Form* to require a principal distributor of a mutual fund to provide the same certificate as the mutual fund and the manager of the mutual fund.

#### **Consequential Amendments**

#### (a) Consequential Amendments to NI 52-107

We propose amendments to NI 52-107 to ensure that the operating statements which a prospectus filer is permitted to provide under new proposed section 32.9 of Form 41-101F1 (described under section (i) in Part I of the **Summary of Key Proposed Amendments** above) can benefit from the financial reporting framework available in NI 52-107 for oil and gas operating statements.

We also propose to repeal the financial reporting framework for carve-out financial statements presently found in subsection 3.11(6) of NI 52-107. As corroborated by external feedback, we do not feel it is necessary for the CSA to prescribe a separate financial reporting framework for carve-out financial statements. It is our view that auditors will generally be able to confirm that the carve-out financial statements have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards, and that instances in which this is not the case will be relatively rare.

#### (b) Consequential Amendments to NI 51-102

Presently an issuer is permitted to utilize operating statements, in lieu of financial statements, if it complies with the requirements of section 8.10 of NI 51-102. One requirement is that the acquisition must be an asset acquisition. We propose to expand

this provision's application to a share acquisition in certain restricted circumstances. Specifically, the vendor must have transferred the applicable oil and gas assets to a corporation that will be considered the transferor of the transaction and was created for the sole purpose of facilitating the acquisition, and this transferor had no assets or operations other than those attributable to the transferred oil and gas assets. A parallel proposed amendment is provided in section 32.9 of Form 41-101F1 for an acquisition that constitutes the acquisition of a primary business of the issuer.

## (c) Consequential Amendments to NI 13-101

We propose amendments to NI 13-101 to update the terminology used for various types of prospectus forms referenced in Appendix A of NI 13-101. Certain of these references are out-of-date.

## **Anticipated Costs and Benefits**

We are proposing the Proposed Amendments to the Prospectus Rules because of issues identified in prospectus reviews, applications for exemptive relief from prospectus requirements and recurring inquiries from prospectus filers or CSA staff concerning certain prospectus requirements.

The Proposed Amendments are designed to enhance the effectiveness of the prospectus disclosure standards, clarify the requirements, address significant identified gaps, and modify or streamline requirements where warranted. The CSA anticipates that these modifications will ease the process and burden of prospectus disclosure for issuers while at the same time delivering effective, relevant and meaningful disclosure to investors.

#### **Alternatives Considered**

We considered maintaining the status quo. However, as discussed above, many of the Proposed Amendments are intended to clarify the Prospectus Rules or to modify or streamline Prospectus Rule requirements where warranted.

Therefore, to provide the appropriate degree of certainty, clarity and consistency among affected issuers, we considered it preferable to amend, replace and add provisions to the Prospectus Rules and associated guidance.

#### **Unpublished Materials**

In developing the Proposed Amendments to the Prospectus Rules, we have not relied on any significant unpublished study, report, or other written materials.

#### **Local Notices**

Certain jurisdictions will publish other information required by local securities legislation in Appendix L to this notice.

### Comments

We request your comments on the Proposed Amendments to the Prospectus Rules. In addition to any general comments you have, we also invite comments on the following specific topic:

# Questions relating to Non-Issuer's Submission to the Jurisdiction and Appointment of Agent for Service

As described in paragraph (g) of the "Summary of Key Proposed Amendments" section of this Notice, we are considering further extending the requirement to file a non-issuer's submission to the jurisdiction and appointment of an agent for service form to all foreign experts who have consented to the disclosure in a prospectus of information from a report, opinion or statement made by them.

We are interested in your general comments on this potential change. In particular, we welcome your comments on the following questions:

- (a) Do you believe that it is appropriate to extend the requirement to file a non-issuer's submission to the jurisdiction and appointment of an agent for service form to foreign experts who have consented to the disclosure in a prospectus of information from a report, opinion or statement made by them given that these persons are liable under our statutory liability regime for misrepresentations in the prospectus that are derived from that report, opinion or statement? Why or why not?
- (b) If foreign experts are required to file a non-issuers' submission to the jurisdiction and appointment of an agent for service form, do you anticipate that this obligation will impose any significant practical or financial burden on these experts or issuers? If so, please explain why. Would your response change if the form requirement for foreign experts only concerned either submission to the jurisdiction or an appointment of an agent for service?

Please provide your comments in writing by **October 14, 2011**. Regardless of whether you are sending your comments by email, you should also send or attach your submissions in an electronic file in Microsoft Word, Windows format.

Address your submissions to the following Canadian securities regulatory authorities:

British Columbia Securities Commission Alberta Securities Commission Saskatchewan Financial Services Commission Manitoba Securities Commission Ontario Securities Commission Autorité des marchés financiers Superintendent of Securities, Prince Edward Island Nova Scotia Securities Commission New Brunswick Securities Commission Securities Commission of Newfoundland and Labrador Superintendent of Securities, Yukon Territory Superintendent of Securities, Northwest Territories Superintendent of Securities, Nunavut

Deliver your comments **only** to the address that follows. Your comments will be distributed to the other participating CSA member jurisdictions.

#### **Alex Poole**

Senior Legal Counsel, Corporate Finance Alberta Securities Commission Suite 600, 250-5<sup>th</sup> Street SW Calgary, Alberta T2P 0R4 Fax: (403) 297-4482 Email: <u>alex.poole@asc.ca</u>

#### Anne-Marie Beaudoin Corporate Secretary

Autorité des marchés financiers 800, square Victoria, 22e étage C.P. 246, tour de la Bourse Montréal, Québec H4Z 1G3 Fax: 514-864-6381 Email: <u>consultation-en-cours@lautorite.qc.ca</u>

Please note that comments received will be made publicly available and posted at **www.albertasecurities.com** and the websites of certain other securities regulatory authorities. We cannot keep submissions confidential because securities legislation in certain provinces requires that a summary of the written comments received during the comment period be published.

#### Questions

#### A. Questions relating to Investment Funds

Certain Proposed Amendments apply only to investment funds. These amendments are found in Form 41-101F2 *Information Required in an Investment Fund Prospectus* and NI 81-101 including Form 81-101F2 *Contents of Annual Information Form*. Also, the key Proposed Amendments applicable to investment funds are described above under Part II of the **Summary of Key Proposed Amendments**. If your questions relate to these Proposed Amendments, please refer your questions to any of:

Christopher Birchall Senior Securities Analyst, Corporate Finance British Columbia Securities Commission 604-899-6722 cbirchall@bcsc.bc.ca Ian Kerr Senior Legal Counsel, Corporate Finance Alberta Securities Commission 403-297-2659 <u>ian.kerr@asc.ca</u>

Bob Bouchard Director Manitoba Securities Commission 204-945-2555 bob.bouchard@gov.mb.ca

Ian Kearsey Legal Counsel, Investment Funds Branch Ontario Securities Commission 416-593-2169 ikeasey@osc.gov.on.ca

Chantal Leclerc Senior Policy Advisor Autorité des marchés financiers 514-395-0337 ext. 4463 <u>chantal.leclerc@lautorite.qc.ca</u>

Anick Ouellette Analyst, Investment Funds Branch Autorité des marchés financiers 514-395-0337 ext. 4472 anick.ouellette@lautorite.qc.ca

#### B. All Other Questions relating to the Proposed Amendments

Certain Proposed Amendments apply to issuers other than investment funds. These amendments are found in NI 41-101 including Form 41-101F1 *Information Required in a Prospectus*, NI 44-101 including Form 44-101F1 *Short Form Prospectus*, NI 44-102 and the Consequential Amendments to NI 52-107, NI 51-102 and NI 13-101. Also, the key Proposed Amendments applicable to such issuers are described above under Part I of the **Summary of Key Proposed Amendments**. If your questions relate to these Proposed Amendments, please refer your questions to any of:

Larissa Streu Senior Legal Counsel, Corporate Finance British Columbia Securities Commission 604-899-6888 Istreu@bcsc.bc.ca Allan Lim Manager, Corporate Finance British Columbia Securities Commission 604-899-6780 <u>alim@bcsc.bc.ca</u>

Alex Poole Senior Legal Counsel, Corporate Finance Alberta Securities Commission 403-297-4482 <u>alex.poole@asc.ca</u>

Blaine Young Associate Director, Corporate Finance Alberta Securities Commission 403-297-4220 blaine.young@asc.ca

Cheryl McGillivray Manager, Corporate Finance Alberta Securities Commission 403-297-3307 cheryl.mcgillivray@asc.ca

Ian McIntosh Deputy Director, Corporate Finance Saskatchewan Financial Services Commission - Securities Division 306-787-5867 ian.mcintosh@gov.sk.ca

Bob Bouchard Director Manitoba Securities Commission 204-945-2555 bob.bouchard@gov.mb.ca

Matthew Au Senior Accountant, Corporate Finance Ontario Securities Commission 416-593-8132 <u>mau@osc.gov.on.ca</u> Jason Koskela Legal Counsel, Corporate Finance Ontario Securities Commission 416-595-8922 jkoskela@osc.gov.on.ca

Rosetta Gagliardi Senior Policy Advisor Autorité des marchés financiers 514-395-0337 ext. 4462 rosetta.gagliardi@lautorite.qc.ca

Chantal Leclerc Senior Policy Advisor Autorité des marchés financiers 514-395-0337 ext. 4463 <u>chantal.leclerc@lautorite.qc.ca</u>

Natalie Brown Analyste expert, financement des sociétés Senior Securities Analyst, Corporate finance Autorité des marchés financiers 514-395-0337 ext. 4388 natalie.brown@lautorite.qc.ca

Kevin Redden Director, Corporate Finance Nova Scotia Securities Commission 902-424-5343 reddenkg@gov.ns.ca

Pierre Thibodeau Senior Securities Analyst New Brunswick Securities Commission 506-643-7751 pierre.thibodeau@nbsc-cymnb.ca

#### List of Appendices

Appendix AProposed Amendments to National Instrument 41-101 General Prospectus<br/>Requirements and Companion Policy 41-101CP to National Instrument<br/>41-101 General Prospectus Requirements

Schedule A-1 Proposed Amendments to National Instrument 41-101 General Prospectus Requirements

	Schedule A-2	Proposed Amendments to Companion Policy 41-101CP to National Instrument 41-101 General Prospectus Requirements
Appendix B	General Pros	owing Proposed Changes to National Instrument 41-101 pectus Requirements and Companion Policy 41-101CP to ument 41-101 General Prospectus Requirements
	Schedule B-1	National Instrument 41-101 General Prospectus Requirements
		Form 41-101F1 Information Required in a Prospectus Form 41-101F2 Information Required in an Investment Fund Prospectus
	Schedule B-4	Companion Policy 41-101CP to National Instrument 41- 101 General Prospectus Requirements
Appendix C	Prospectus D	nendments to National Instrument 44-101 Short Form istributions and Companion Policy 44-101CP to National -101 Short Form Prospectus Distributions
	Schedule C-1	Proposed Amendments for National Instrument 44-101 Short Form Prospectus Distributions
	Schedule C-2	Proposed Amendments to Companion Policy 44-101CP to National Instrument 44-101 Short Form Prospectus Distributions
Appendix D	Short Form P	owing Proposed Changes to National Instrument 44-101 rospectus Distributions and Companion Policy 44-101CP to ument 44-101 Short Form Prospectus Distributions
	Schedule D-1	National Instrument 44-101 Short Form Prospectus Distributions
		Form 44-101F1 Short Form Prospectus Companion Policy 44-101CP to National Instrument 44- 101 Short Form Prospectus Distributions
Appendix E	1	endments to National Instrument 44-102 Shelf Distributions on Policy 44-102CP to National Instrument 44-102 Shelf
	Schedule E-1	Proposed Amendments to National Instrument 44-102 Shelf Distributions
	Schedule E-2	Proposed Amendments to Companion Policy 44-102CP to National Instrument 44-102 <i>Shelf Distributions</i>

Appendix F	Proposed Amendments to National Instrument 81-101 Mutual Fund Prospectus Disclosure			
Appendix G	Blackline Showing Proposed Changes to National Instrument 81-101 Mutual Fund Prospectus Disclosure			
	Schedule G-1 National Instrument 81-101 Mutual Fund Prospectus Disclosure Schedule G-2 Form 81-101F2 Contents of Annual Information Form			
Appendix H	Proposed Amendments to National Instrument 52-107 Acceptable Accounting Principles and Auditing Standards and Companion Policy 52- 107CP to National Instrument 52-107 Acceptable Accounting Principles and Auditing Standard			
	<ul> <li>Schedule H–1 Proposed Amendments to National Instrument 52-107 Acceptable Accounting Principles and Auditing Standards</li> <li>Schedule H-2 Proposed Amendments to Companion Policy 52-107CP to National Instrument 52-107 Acceptable Accounting Principles and Auditing Standard</li> </ul>			
Appendix I	Blackline Showing Proposed Changes to National Instrument 52-107 Acceptable Accounting Principles and Auditing Standards and Companion Policy 52-107CP to National Instrument 52-107 Acceptable Accounting Principles and Auditing Standards			
	Schedule I-1National Instrument52-107AcceptableAccountingPrinciples and Auditing StandardsSchedule I-2CompanionPolicy52-107CPCompanionPolicy toNationalInstrument52-107AcceptableAccountingPrinciples and Auditing Standards			
Appendix J	Proposed Amendments to National Instrument 51-102 Continuous Disclosure Obligations			
Appendix K	Proposed Amendments to National Instrument 13-101 System for Electronic Document and Analysis Retrieval			
Appendix L	Information required by local securities legislation			